

# **Programme Board Highlight Report for:**

### (P0306/00) care.data

**<u>Ref:</u>** care.data/Programme Board – Main Session/Paper 02

Title: care.data Programme Board Highlight Report

Author: care.data programme team (Donna Braisby)

Programme Board Sponsor: Eve Roodhouse, Programme Director

#### Purpose:

To provide an update for the programme board in relation to delivery against plan/milestones, by workstream, as well as an overall position for the programme (delivery confidence) and key risks.

#### **Background:**

Care.data is a programme of work that aims to increase the range of information that is collected across all NHS-funded services for purposes beyond direct care. The plan is to securely connect information together and make it available to those who plan NHS services, researchers, medical charities and businesses that support the NHS to make services better. The first phase of the care.data programme is to collect and securely connect information from hospitals and GP practices.

### Key Points:

The document provides a general update (highlights) for the programme board and is fed by updates from workstreams (weekly reports are currently developed for each workstream in the programme).

#### Desired outcome(s):

That the programme board is provided with an appropriate update for the programme and is able to challenge elements of delivery and assure delivery based upon the information provided.

**Circulation:** Programme Board attendees.

#### Date presented to Programme Board:

11 February 2015



# Programme / Project Board Highlight Report for:

## (P0306/00) care.data

| Reporting Period (Calendar Month): | January 2015 | Report Author:     | Donna Braisby     |
|------------------------------------|--------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| Name of SRO:                       | Tim Kelsey   | Job Title:         | Programme Manager |
| Date Approved by SRO:              | Not approved | Baselined Against: | твс               |

### **1. Points for Escalation**

In order to proceed with fair processing in March the Programme Board is asked to confirm they are content to make that decision at an extraordinary meeting which is expected to occur week commencing 16 February 2015.

| 2. Overall Delivery  | Oct-14  | Nov-14   | Dec-14   | Jan-15              | Feb-15  | Mar-15   | Apr-15   |
|--|---|--|--|---------------------|---|--|--|
| Confidence RAG   | Amber/ Red  | Amber/ Red   | Amber/ Red   | Amber/ Red          | Amber/ Red  | Amber  | Amber  |
| Overall Delivery Confidence C  | ommentary   |  |  | Next St             | eps   |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Good progress continues to be made a continues to be under intense scrutiny, significant risks. The overall Amber/Reference of the second programme.</li> <li>The first version of the business ca 2015. Engagement completed with commence w/c 2 February 2015 with Following the publication of the IIG pathfinder CCGs to understand the developed and sent to IIGOP on 2</li> <li>Final feedback from pathfinder CCG have been requested by 4 Februar local meetings are taking place were off of the materials.</li> <li>Pathfinder plan has been reconsider presented to the Programme Board papers for Octometal papers fo</li></ul> | operating without a<br>d status reflects this<br>nittee on 21 Januar<br>use was presented to<br>Programme Board<br>ith subject matter ex<br>OP report a review<br>impact of the cond<br>February 2015.<br>Gs and other stakel<br>y 2015 to proceed to<br>ek commencing 2 F<br>ered with the CCGs<br>d for baselining. | an approved busines<br>s. Key points to note<br>y to update on the p<br>o the Programme Bu<br>d members and assu-<br>xperts across HSCIC<br>has taken place with<br>litions set, and a join<br>holders on patient an<br>through the approva<br>bebruary 2015 to ass<br>in line with the IIGC | es case and managine<br>wathfinder stage of the<br>oard on 14 January<br>urance process will<br>C and NHS England<br>h the programme and<br>the programme and the programme and the programme and<br>the programme and the programme and the programme and<br>the programme and the programme an | rials<br>of<br>sign | se core patient facing<br>ceed with fair process<br>lete business plannin<br>with NHS England & I<br>se Integrated Assuran-<br>lete Programme Assu<br>February 2015.<br>nence the assurance<br>w and release progra<br>mber 2014.<br>arrangements with N<br>gramme readiness be | ing.<br>g and financial revie<br>HSCIC in line with th<br>ce and Approval Pla<br>irance Review (PAF<br>process for the Prog<br>mme board papers f<br>ational Data Guardi | ew for FY 2015-<br>ne business case.<br>an (IAAP).<br>R) scheduled from<br>gramme Business<br>from June –<br>an for her review |



| 3. Key Programme / Project RAG Areas                          | RAG Status  | Trend     | Headlines / RAG Improvement Steps  |
|---|-------------|-----------|--|
| Gateway Delivery Confidence (Last Gateway Review RAG)         | Red         | No Change | Programme Assurance Review (rather than Gateway 0) will take place on 3-5 February 2015.   |
| Key Delivery Milestones over Next 3 Months                    | Amber       | No Change | Emphasis is on primary care extract for pathfinder GP practices (stage 1 of first phase of programme).   |
| Current Year Financial Forecast vs. Budget DH                 | N/A         | N/A       | N/A  |
| Current Year Financial Forecast vs. Budget HSCIC              | Red (Under) | No Change | 0% against budget for 2014/15 FY.  |
| Current Year Financial Forecast vs. Budget NHS-E              | Red (Under) | No Change | 0.02% overspend against budget for 2014/15 FY, however programme expected to come in budget by end of financial year.  |
| Investment Justification (BC, MoU etc) Forecast Spend Status  | Red         | No Change | No approved business case, however the programme business case has<br>now been reviewed and endorsed by the Programme Board in January<br>2015. Assurance and SME process now commencing.  |
| Benefits Realisation Confidence                               | N/A         | N/A       | Benefits were initially drafted as part of the programme business case development, which is now in progress. As the programme business case has not yet been fully approved benefits cannot be forecast.  |
| Quality Management against Plan                               | Amber       | No Change | Quality management measures/plan being developed in support of the programme definition (specifically for the Programme Definition Document and revised governance).   |
| Programme / Project End Date                                  | N/A         | No Change | The end date has been specified in overall programme business case as 31 March 2020.   |
| Current Investment Justification Approval Status              | Red         | No Change | No Spend approval as business case not in place. However care.data is taking a discovery phase approach for pathfinders. Programme business case is in progress. Spend for FY 2015/2016 has been approved in principle through business planning approval process. |
| Digital and Technology Spend Controls Status (as appropriate) | TBC         | No Change | No Spend approval as business case not in place. However care.data is taking a discovery phase approach for pathfinders. Programme business case is in progress. Spend for FY 2015/2016 has been approved in principle through business planning approval process. |
| Resourcing Against Plan                                       | Amber       | No Change | Resource gaps are being filled although clarity of working arrangements across organisations is still forming and there is pressure in all workstreams for business critical positions.  |



| 4. Key Programme / Project   | Details   | Key Programme / Project Contacts |               |  |
|------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|---------------|--|
| Primary Funding Organisation | 2014/2015 – NHS England<br>2015/2016 – NHS England  | Senior Responsible Owner (SRO)   | Tim Kelsey    |  |
| Commissioning Organisation   | NHS England (primary commissioning organisation)    | Programme Director               | Eve Roodhouse |  |
| Portfolio Item Start date    | Activity started on the programme in September 2012 |                                  |               |  |
| Portfolio Item End date      | To be confirmed                                     |                                  |               |  |

### **5. Progress against Plan (for this Reporting Period)**

#### Communications, Stakeholder Engagement and Media

#### Communications/marketing

- Revised versions of the patient facing materials were sent to the Pathfinders on 20 January 2015. The content of the GP Practice Toolkit, including the GP FAQs were sent to the pathfinders on 27 January 2015. Pathfinders to provide final feedback by 6 February on public facing communication materials.
- The revised versions of the patient facing materials that were shared with the Pathfinders were also sent to the Advisory group on 22 January 2015 and final comments invited by 4 February 2015.
- Programme Board papers for September November 2014 published on 2 February 2015.
- Media coverage managed with Sky, Guardian and Pharma Times
- Website has been refreshed and a link has been added for the IIGOP Report.
- Suggested changes provided by Independent Information Governance Oversight Panel (IIGOP) on the first versions of the public facing materials were agreed with their team (IIGOP) on 27 January 2015.
- Feedback received from medConfidential, the Department of Health and the British Medical association (BMA) on the revised versions of the public facing materials provided to the Advisory Group being reviewed and changes are being agreed.

#### Research

- Ipsos MORI have produced a summary of findings of the interviews (completed between Monday, 10 November 2014 and Friday 19 December 2014) on 27 January 2015.
- The intention is that Ipsos MORI will produce a full, written report (to include the Pathfinder tracking research) after the 'post-wave' (interviews with public during Fair Processing) research has been completed, providing a narrative of all the research they have conducted on our behalf since September 2014.

#### Stakeholders & media

- Tim Kelsey presented to the Health Select Committee on 21 January 2015 [http://www.parliamentlive.tv/Main/Player.aspx?meetingId=17049]
- An arm's length bodies workshop hosted by the NHS England Director of Communications was held on 23 January 2015. It was attended by Medicines and Healthcare
  Products Regulatory Agency (MHRSA), Public Health England (PHE), Health Research Authority (HRA), National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NiCE),
  Health Social Care Information Centre (HSCIC), Care Quality Commission (CQC) and Monitor. This was to discuss how best to share communications, timings and
  objectives on an ongoing basis.
- Response to IIGOP report prepared for SRO.



- Summary of engagement and action plan document published on 20 January 2015 [http://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2015/01/care-data-presentation.pdf].
- Engagement with key stakeholder and media.

#### Public & Patient Voice

- Continuing regular briefing meetings with Healthwatch England.
- Mechanism agreed (via Department of Education) to cascade information about pathfinders to care home managers to support children and young people in care to make an informed choice about sharing data.

#### **Commissioning Strategy & Policy**

#### Legislation

- Bullets on scope of the opt-out for the pathfinder stage have been sent to the DH to enable drafting of DH directions to the HSCIC in relation to opt outs to begin.
- Possible solutions in relation to managing existing type 2 objections recorded during the previous public information campaign are being considered by the HSCIC.
- The NHS England IG team have been instructed to proceed with updating The Health and Social Care Information Centre (Establishment of Information Systems for NHS Services: Collection and Analysis of Primary Care Data) Directions which were published in December 2013.

#### Data Controller Agreement

- Approved by care.data programme Board.
- The HSCIC approved the agreement on the 28 January 2015 at the HSCIC Board meeting. Arrangements will now be made for the agreement to be signed by the respective organisations.

#### **Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA)**

- Approved by care.data programme Board.
- As the PIA is an NHS England document, it is proceeding through the NHS England gateway process and publication is expected at the end of February 2015.

#### MOU

• MOUs for the GP line and the 2<sup>nd</sup> line support and service management of the patient line have been finalised. These are currently pending final NHSE sign off before going to HSCIC.

#### **Policy Input**

• Letter to Health Select Committee providing corrections and further information following Health Select Committee on 21 January 2015.

#### Technology platform, extract system and secure data facility

- SAS 9.4 (Software analytical tool) installation underway, this is being done in parallel to the infrastructure build. On track for completion by the end of March 2015.
- Overall end-to-end Service/Operational model development on track. Operational use cases complete for all components accept Data Downloader and the Data Management Environment.
- Test strategy issued for final review. High-level test plan in place. Gap analysis on required testing expected 06 February 2015.
- Terms of reference (ToR) have been drafted for the Operations and Service readiness board, which will be the internal (to HSCIC) governance vehicle to get all the systems live.



- The delivery of the Secure Data Facility is on plan. Additional security measures are being assessed by HSCIC's Information Governance and Security teams. Recruitment of the security officer role is underway.
- Security concerns have been raised regarding the GP Data Viewer proposed design. These are being worked through by the programme team.

#### **HSCIC's Data Services Platform**

- Key internal stakeholder meetings held to start to draft the Programme vision, scope and delivery strategy.
- Project brief and strategic justification draft document in development.
- Shadow programme board to meet 2 February 2015 to agree Programme vision, scope and next steps.
- Full review of the Master Patient Index and De-identification project documentation produced originally to assess fitness for future and what needs to be updated. Now validating requirements and identifying key risks and issues.

#### Data Delivery

#### Primary Care – Hospital Episode Statistics Linkage (PCHES)

- Following the publication of the IIGOP report a review has taken place with the programme and pathfinder CCGs to understand the impact of the conditions set, and a joint response has been developed and sent to IIGOP.
- Progress continues to be made in confirming practice participation in the CCG areas. Approximately 80 practices have confirmed participation as of 31 January 2015.
- Focus of the central programme and NHS England regional team has remained on working closely with pathfinder areas on the co-production of materials. Review
  meetings to consider final comments on materials and to obtain local sign off are being held week commencing 2 February 2015, ahead of progressing through to final
  central review and sign off.
- Further planning has taken place with the programme team and pathfinders to develop a refined delivery plan. This will be presented to the board on 11 February 2015.
- MoU outlining roles and responsibilities, governance arrangements, and an outline project timeframe with the CCGs in the four pathfinder areas are being finalised ahead of final sign off.
- Options for the future roll-out together with supporting resourcing and costings have been developed as part of the development of the business case.
- Work to develop the evaluation approach is progressing with a draft proposal submitted to the programme board for review. Once feedback has been received the updated proposal will be discussed with the pathfinder areas.
- The costings and mechanics to produce and distribute communication materials to practices and patients have been agreed.
- The proposal from the patient line provider (HGS) to support fair processing activity has now been approved, and a contract addendum is being drawn up.
- The standards application continues to be progressed with Standardisation Committee for Care Information (SCCI), albeit slowly due to availability of the SCCI team.
- The Burden Assessment and Advice Service (BAAS) application is being progressed.

#### Maternity Children Data Set (MCDS)

- The HSCIC Senior Information Risk Owner (SIRO) approved the proposed approach to pseudonymise the data in the II4 environment and then export de-identified data to the HSCIC IL3 environment for analysis.
- As a consequence of the dependency on key resources supporting the SUS transition, there has been limited engagement on how the re-use of the oracle super cluster (OSC) and service management would work for MCDS. The engagement so far has identified key concerns in the use of the OSC arising from different technologies and lack of service management to support re-use. The impact of these concerns and proposed options to address the arising issues have been discussed, however there is little confidence that the proposed options can be implemented in time to allow maternity data to flow in May 2015.



- A contingency option to allow progression to a May 2015 flow data for the maternity dataset has been identified and approved by the MCDS project board. The
  contingency would be to land the data in HSCIC IL3 datacentre (this is the current environment for other mental health datasets). The contingency option requires
  further internal EMT/HSCIC Board approval.
- The technical output specification for the CYPHS dataset has been formally submitted for approval to the SCCI Board. .
- The PSBC to recruit Oracle Developers has received approval and progression on remaining development for the maternity dataset can commence

#### **Data Access and Accelerators**

#### **Data Access**

- Planning activity for pathfinder analysis evaluation stage
- Planning activity for consultation to expand the GP dataset beyond care.data pathfinder stage
- Design and development of content for pathfinder stage data quality experimental publication, planned for September 2015
- Expert Reference Group meeting took place on 27 January 2015
- Operational delivery of the Secure Data Facility due March 2015.
- Development of secure data access options beyond care.data pathfinder stage.

#### **Business Case**

- The first version of the business case was presented to the Programme Board on 14 January 2015. The case has been updated in readiness for SME assurance to commence week commencing 2 February 2015.
- Benefits project manager now in post and build on the existing work to quantify benefits.

#### Programme Office / Controls

#### **Programme Board**

• Programme Board scheduled for 11 February 2015 from 14:00 – 16:00.

#### Assurance: Project Validation Review (PVR) recommendations

• Programme Assurance Review (PAR) will take place from 3-5 February 2015.

#### NHS England Internal Audit

• The audit report for Communications, Stakeholder Engagement and Media workstream and the implementation status relating to PVR action plan has been received. Factual inaccuracies have been reported via NHS England and a further action plan issued. Management response drafted and issued to SRO for final approval.

#### Funding

Programme costs and funding for FY14/15 (including HSCIC resources) has now been agreed and a work package has been drafted by HSCIC. To be reviewed by
Programme Director followed by NHS England. Funding for FY15/16 is subject to NHS England business planning currently underway. Business planning also
underway in HSCIC.

#### Advisory Group Meeting

• The next meeting of the Advisory Group is scheduled for 27 February 2015 from 10:00-12:00.



#### Resourcing

• Business critical resources have been recruited and many are now in position. The remainder are expected to be in position by March 2015. Work underway to ensure new resources are up to speed with the programme.

#### **Programme Documentation**

- The Risk and Issue strategy is due to be issued to the SRO week commencing 2 February 2015.
- An overarching governance document has been drafted incorporating changes to the Programme Board terms of reference from 14 January 2015.
- Quality Management Plan has been drafted and with the Programme Director for review.
- IAAP has been amended to reflect further comments from the Cabinet Office. Submitted to SRO for approval.

### 6. Key Activities / Milestones (Next 3 Months) [include NHS England Public Commitments and SoS Priorities]

| Key Activity / Milestone Description                      | RAG   | Milestone<br>Type | Original<br>Baseline Date | Current<br>Baseline Date | Current Forecast<br>/ Actual | Commentary (with Explanations for Delays)  |
|---|-------|-------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| Programme Business Case (PBC) Programme<br>Board Approval | Amber | Approvals         | 15/12/2014                | 14/01/2015               | 30/01/2015                   | Due to the complexities of business case writing and<br>a delay in securing business critical resources, a 1<br>month delay occurred. Further delay of 2 weeks due<br>to necessary input and amendments following<br>Programme Board on 14 January 2015. |
| PAR Assessment meeting                                    | Green | Assurance         | 14/01/2015                | 14/01/2015               | 14/01/2015                   | Completed, plans in place to ensure smooth running of the PAR from 3-5 February 2015.  |
| care.data Programme Board approval Fair<br>Processing     | Green | Approvals         | 14/01/2015                | 18/02/2015               | 18/02/2015                   | Approval delayed to ensure all key stakeholders<br>content with materials (as per IIGOP<br>recommendation)   |
| PAR Review  | Green | Assurance         | 05/02/2015                | 05/02/2015               | 05/02/2015                   |  |
| IPMB Sub Group  | Green | Approvals         | 25/02/2015                | 25/02/2015               | 25/02/2015                   |  |
| IAO   | Green | Approvals         | 06/03/2015                | 06/03/2015               | 06/03/2015                   |  |
| Minister  | Green | Approvals         | 27/03/2015                | 27/03/2015               | 27/03/2015                   |  |
| Cabinet Office  | Green | Approvals         | 17/04/2015                | 17/04/2015               | 17/04/2015                   |  |
| НМТ   | Green | Approvals         | 24/04/2015                | 24/04/2015               | 24/04/2015                   |  |
| Letter Issued   | Green | Delivery          | 30/04/2015                | 30/04/2015               | 30/04/2015                   |  |



#### 7. Top 5 Risks and Issues **Risk / Issue Title Impact Description** Risk / Type **Risk / Issue Description** Impact Likeli-RAG Trend Risk (Risk / Issue hood Status Owner Issue) ID 16258 Risk Lack of clarity around Without clarity around type 2 TIME: Significant delays whilst the care.data type 2 objections objections there is a potential for delay programme team await clarity in the delivery of the pathfinder stage. COST: Additional programme costs would be 5 (Verv 4 (Likely Amber/ Trevor incurred as a result of further delays NEW High) 68-90%) Red Anders **REPUTATION: Both HSCIC and NHS England** could potentially suffer a reputational impact should pathfinders be delayed. 15884 Risk Unclear There is a potential for the TIME: Significant delays whilst the care.data communication of the communication to be unclear programme team respond to provide clarity safeguards and regarding the safeguards and purpose purpose of the of the programme to key stakeholders, COST: Additional programme costs would be 3 including the media, which could result incurred as a result of further delays 5 (Very Amber/ programme to key Eve (Possible $\rightarrow$ in significant delays and costs along High) Red stakeholders. Roodhouse 33-67%) including the media. with reputational damage to the REPUTATION: Perception that care.data has not addressed the initial concerns raised programme. following the Health Select Committee in February 2014. 16257 Risk Clinicians in Potential for clinicians in pathfinder TIME: Impact through delays if clinicians are areas not able to meet IIGOP not able to meet the standards pathfinder areas being unable to meet standards due to the limited time to 3 IIGOP standards. COST: Impact on cost through longer period of Eve meet fair processing requirements. 4 (High) (Possible NEW Amber time to meet standards. Roodhouse 33-67%) **REPUTATIONAL:** Perception that care.data has not met standards set by IIGOP 15873 Risk Lack of clinical Due to the pace of rollout of the GPES TIME: Impact through delays – need to make further efforts via professional bodies and on primary care extract (including engagement for the ground in regions (CCGs) - to secure programme communications and engagement), limited time to meet fair processing engagement 3 requirements (GP role as Data Eve 4 (High) (Possible Amber $\rightarrow$ Controller), limited funding or resource COST: Impact on cost through wider, more Roodhouse 33-67%) to help GP Practices to manage intense engagement / communications strategy patient communications and GP Practice users potentially being **BENEFITS:** Potential impact on benefits further unfamiliar with GPES down line if not engaged early



|       |       |   |  | REPUTATIONAL: Perception that GP Data<br>Controllers have to defend patient data against<br>HSCIC extraction. Reduced confidence in<br>HSCIC & NHS England to achieve project   |                  |                           |               |               |                  |
|-------|-------|---|--|---|------------------|---------------------------|---------------|---------------|------------------|
| 15949 | Issue | No approved<br>business case for the<br>programme | There is a risk that the Business Case<br>will not be approved before the<br>General Election (purdah).                              | objectives.         TIME: The pathfinder extraction may be delayed         COST: Lack of certainty around the programme and lack of clarity around funding for the FY 2015/16 (full primary care roll out).         BENEFITS: Potential impact on benefits in that there could be difficulty in defining benefits against the delivery taking place (should all be defined in one place). | 5 (Very<br>High) | 4 (Likely<br>68-90%)      | Amber/<br>Red | xxx           | Eve<br>Roodhouse |
| 15979 | Risk  | Technical solution<br>delivery timescales         | HSCIC may not be able to deliver<br>technical solution in the timescales<br>required by the care.data programme                      | TIME: Delivery timescales may not be achieved<br>within programme expectations.<br>BENEFITS: Delays in delivery will impact on when<br>benefits can be realised.<br>REPUTATION: Reputational damage to the HSCIC<br>and NHS England   | 5 (Very<br>High  | 3<br>(Possible<br>33-67%) | Amber/<br>Red | $\rightarrow$ | Eve<br>Roodhouse |
| 15996 | Risk  | Complex approval process                          | Due to the complex approval process<br>there is a risk that delays could occur<br>in the proposed plans for pathfinder<br>extraction | <ul> <li>TIME: If the complex approvals process is not managed effectively this could cause a time delay in the pathfinder extraction.</li> <li>COST: A delay would result in further cost for the programme team.</li> <li>REPUTATION: Both HSCIC and NHS England could potentially suffer a reputational impact should pathfinders be delayed.</li> </ul>                               | 4 (High)         | 3<br>(Possible<br>33-67%) | Amber/<br>Red | $\rightarrow$ | Eve<br>Roodhouse |



| RAG  | Capital / Revenue   | Full Year Budget<br>(£K)  | Actual Spend<br>(£K)   | Full Year Forecast<br>(£K)   | <b>Full Year Variance(£K)</b><br>(FY budget – Forecast) (£k)  | Full Year Variance %<br>(FY budget – Forecast as a %)   |
|--|---|---|--|--|---|---|
| N/A  | DH Revenue  |   |  |  |   |   |
| N/A  | DH Capital  |   |  |  |   |   |
|  | Total DH  |   |  |  |   |   |
| Green  | NHS England Programme Revenue<br>– Non Staff Costs  | 2,300   | 481  | 2,410  | 110   | 0.05%   |
| Green  | NHS England Programme Revenue<br>– Admin Expenditure  | 1,670   | 556  | 1670   | 0   | 0%  |
| Green  | NHS England Capital   | 0   | 0  | 0  | 0   | 0%  |
|  | Total NHS E   | 3,970   | 1,037  | 4,080  | 110   | 0.02%   |
| Green  | HSCIC Revenue Income (GIA/I&A)<br>Income from NHS England   | -1,200<br>-1,400  | -0<br>-0   | -1,200<br>-1,400   | 0<br>0  | 0%<br>0%  |
| Green  | HSCIC Revenue Cost – Non Staff<br>Costs   | 732   | 520  | 732  | 0   | 0.00%   |
| Green  | HSCIC Revenue Cost – Admin<br>Expenditure   | 1,524   | 969  | 1,524  | 0   | 0.00%   |
| Green  | HSCIC Capital   | 165   | 0  | 165  | 0   | 0.00%   |
|  | Total HSCIC   | -179  | 1,489  | -179   | 110   | -0.6%   |
| Green  | TOTAL   | 3,791   | 2,526  | 3,901  | 110   | 0.02%   |
| Commenta   | ary   |   |  |  | Next Steps  |   |
| Care.data - No<br>separate busir<br>Budget had be<br>C3.97 million. (<br>specific progra | s as at 31 December 2014 (financial figures for<br>business case in place – funding through I&A<br>less case in place for research activity to supp<br>en agreed in NHS England for FY 14/15 for £<br>Currently NHS England costs are under budge<br>mme costs in HSCIC. Expected to spend £1.2<br>me in line with the budget by the end of the fin | A/GIA source, NHS Engla<br>port awareness extension<br>4.27 million. A reduction o<br>et; however funding has b<br>2 million in HSCIC technic | nd and care.data pro<br>).<br>of 7% was then enford<br>een agreed from NHS | gramme funding (and<br>ced leaving a budget of<br>S England to cover | programme (budget v's sp<br>2. Work packages to be finali<br>3. Funding for FY 2015/2016<br>principle from NHS Englan | system across the care.data<br>end)<br>ised for 2014/2015 spend<br>has been approved in<br>id, to be confirmed.<br>from HSCIC is underway via |



9. Investment Justification Forecast Spend Status (NB all negative figures to be bracketed, +ve = underspend, -ve = overspend)

| RAG   | Funding Org'n | Total Baselined Org'l WLC (£M)<br>(as per combined BC or MoU)                  | Total Org'l Spend To-date<br>(£M) | Total Forecast, Or<br>(£M) | rg'I WLC Total Org'I Variance (£M)<br>( Baseline – Forecast) |
|-------|---------------|--|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|--|
|       | DH            |  |                                   |                            |  |
|       | NHS England   |  |                                   |                            |  |
| N/A   | HSCIC         |  |                                   |                            |  |
|       | NHS Local     |  |                                   |                            |  |
|       | Other         |  |                                   |                            |  |
| TOTAL |               | ТВС  | ТВС                               | TBC                        | ТВС  |
| Comme | entary        | Ne   | ext steps                         |                            |  |
|       |               | unding through GIA source, NHS Engl<br>ce for research activity to support awa | ding (and                         |                            |  |

| 10. Benefits Realisation Confidence as at (insert date) (NB +ve = underachievement, -ve = overachievement)  |  |                                     |   |                      |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|---|----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Variance  | should report the difference betwe   | een original baselined benefits and | d currently forecast total benefits for | or project duration. |  |  |  |  |
| RAG   | RAGBenefit TypeBaselined Total Benefits<br>(as per approved BC) (£M)Forecast Total Benefits<br>(Whole Life) (£M)Actual benefits<br>(Realised To-date) (£M) |                                     |   |                      |  |  |  |  |
|   | Cash Releasing Benefits  |                                     |   |                      |  |  |  |  |
| N/A   | Non-Cash Releasing Benefits  |                                     |   |                      |  |  |  |  |
| IN/ <i>F</i> A  | Societal Benefits  |                                     |   |                      |  |  |  |  |
|   | Total  |                                     |   |                      |  |  |  |  |
| Comme   | entary   | Ne                                  | Next steps                              |                      |  |  |  |  |
| Benefits were initially drafted as part of the programme business case development, which is now in progress. As the programme business case has not yet been fully approved benefits cannot be forecast. |  |                                     |   |                      |  |  |  |  |

Notes on completion: For sections 8, 9 and 10 all negative figures to be bracketed.



| 11. P       | 11. Programme / Project Gateway Review or Health Check Recommendations Progress |  |                              |                    |                   |                  |                  |  |  |
|-------------|---|--|------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|--|--|
| Rec.<br>No. | Recommendation  | Action Plan to Address<br>Recommendation | Action Progress against Plan | Action<br>Priority | Current<br>Status | Baseline<br>Date | Forecast<br>Date |  |  |
|             |   |  |                              |                    |                   |                  |                  |  |  |
|             |   | Redacted – Section 36<br>FOI Act 2000    |                              |                    |                   |                  |                  |  |  |





Notes on completion: the baseline date should be the date agreed with the assurance body and should not be amended without their agreement.

# **RAG Status Definitions**

| Overall Delivery Confidence   |       |
|---|-------|
| Successful delivery of the project / programme appears to be unachievable. There are major issues on project / programme definition, schedule, budget required quality or benefits delivery, which at this stage do not appear to be manageable or resolvable. The project/programme may need re-baselining and/or overall viability re-assessed. | R     |
| Successful delivery of the project/programme is in doubt with major risks or issues apparent in a number of key areas. Urgent action is needed to ensure these are addressed, and whether resolution is feasible.   | A/R   |
| Successful delivery appears feasible but significant issues already exist, requiring management attention. These appear resolvable at this stage and if addressed promptly, should not present a cost/schedule overrun.   | Α     |
| Successful delivery appears probable however constant attention will be needed to ensure risks do not materialise into major issues threatening delivery.   | A/G   |
| Successful delivery of the project/programme to time, cost and quality appears highly likely and there are no major outstanding issues that at this stage appear to threaten delivery significantly.  | G     |
| Programme / Project has been delivered.   | С     |
| Key Activities / Delivery Milestones Over The Next 3 Months   |       |
| Delivery of the key activity or milestone is behind the current baseline plan and is likely to be delivered late. Milestone is likely to require re-baselining.   | R     |
| Delivery of the key activity or milestone is behind the current baseline plan but has realistic plans to recover.   | Α     |
| Delivery of the key activity or milestone is on or ahead of current baseline plan.  | G     |
| Activity or milestone completed.  | С     |
| Current Year Financial Forecast vs Budget   |       |
| Programme / project is currently forecasting >0.5% overspend against budget.  | R (O) |
| Programme / project is currently forecasting >5% underspend against budget.   | R (U) |
| Programme / project is currently forecasting 3% to 5% underspend against budget.  | A     |
| Programme / project is currently forecasting <0.5% overspend to <3% underspend against budget.  | G     |
| Investment Justification Forecast Spend Status  |       |
| Total Whole Life Cost is forecast to exceed / has exceeded the approved Investment<br>Justification baseline (tolerance, where available) such that rebaselining will be<br>required.   | R     |
| Total Whole Life Cost is forecast to exceed the approved Investment Justification baseline (tolerance, where available) but there are realistic plans to recover.   | Α     |
| Total Whole Life Cost is forecast is within the approved Investment Justification baseline (tolerance, where available).  | G     |

| Benefits Realisation Confidence (post-FBC/FBJs only)  |          |
|---|----------|
| Benefits, as forecast in the Business Case, cannot be realised such that re-baselining will be required.  | R        |
| Programme is experiencing some issues in its ability to realise benefits as forecast in the business case but has realistic plans to recover.                     | Α        |
| Programme is confident of realising benefits as forecast in the business case.  | G        |
| Quality Management Against Plan   |          |
| Project deliverables are not currently to the required quality to meet stakeholder requirements as per the Quality Plan and will result in rebaselining the plan. | R        |
| Project deliverables are not currently to the required quality to meet stakeholder requirements as per the Quality Plan but there are realistic plans to recover. | Α        |
| Project deliverables are to the required quality to meet stakeholder requirements as per the Quality Plan.  | G        |
| Programme / Project End Date  |          |
| Current baselined end date cannot be met and as such re-baselining will be required.  | R        |
| There are some issues in its ability to meet current baselined end date.  | A        |
| Programme / Project is confident of current baselined end date.   | G        |
|   | •        |
| Current Investment Justification Approval Status  |          |
| The current Investment Justification type and stage is not appropriate for the current P3S Framework stage and is approved to the appropriate level.              | R        |
| The current Investment Justification type and stage is appropriate for the current P3S Framework stage and is undergoing approval.                                | Α        |
| The current Investment Justification type and stage is appropriate for the current P3S Framework stage and is approved to the appropriate level.                  | G        |
| Divital and Tashualamy Chand Cantrala Status  |          |
| Digital and Technology Spend Controls Status<br>Digital and Technology Spend Approval not given for current investment justification or                           | <b>D</b> |
| item is in exception.   | R        |
| Digital and Technology Spend Approval not given for current Investment Justification but is progressing through the approvals process.                            | Α        |
| Digital and Technology Spend Approval given for current investment justification.   | G        |
| Resourcing Against Plan   |          |
| No resource plan in place OR there is a significant shortfall in resources with staffing at   | R        |
| <70% of resource plan OR two or more key roles are missing.   | - N      |
| Material shortfall in resources with staffing at >70% but <90% of resource plan OR one key role is missing.   | Α        |
| Adequate resources in place with staffing at >90% of resource plan AND all key roles are in place.  | G        |